

APCO AI Post-Election Analysis

Overview

Policy imperatives for President-elect Trump have gained more clarity as selections and nominations of members of his staff and cabinet have been announced. The inclusion of Elon Musk in his administration, as well as campaign backing from tech billionaires such as Peter Thiel and Bill Ackman, show evidence that the AI industry may need to prepare for significant changes.

At the core of the issue, what really matters is who Trump listens to when making decisions regarding AI regulation and policy. The following breaks down Trump's potential AI policy.

Deregulation of AI

Deregulation was a key focus of Trump's first term, and he has indicated plans to continue the approach in his second term. However, Musk's ties to Trump might mean AI safety regulation talks won't be completely off the table as he's been a vocal supporter of [California's SB 1047](#), the AI safety bill (which was vetoed). Since being vetoed, it's likely that Trump could push for passing a federal rule that preempts more stringent state laws as he aims to increase the speed and breadth of tech innovation that may be hindered or slowed by laws like SB 1047.

AI research was a central part of the Biden administration's tech policy, as seen through the creation of [the U.S. AI Safety Institute](#) at the Commerce Department. The institute was created in the wake of Biden's executive order on AI, [issued last year](#) to harness the technology's benefits and manage its risks. Trump plans to repeal the order, according to the Republican Party's policy platform. He criticized the executive order for hindering innovation and imposing "radical leftwing ideas" on the technology's development.

Trump's own pronouncements on AI have fluctuated wildly. In an interview on Logan Paul's Impulsive podcast, he [described](#) AI as a "superpower" yet that it has "alarming" capabilities. Both his supporters and opponents view the technology through the lens of competition with China, which he sees as the "primary threat" in the race to build advanced AI.

His closest allies are similarly divided on how to govern the technology: [Musk](#) has long voiced concerns about AI's existential risks and emphasized the importance of research, while J.D. Vance, Trump's Vice President-elect, [sees such warnings from industry](#) as a ploy to usher regulations that would "entrench the tech incumbents." There are very clear divisions between Trump's supporters on the issue, and it will be a struggle for the President's ear as to which side wins.

AI Government Contracts

Palantir Technologies and Anthropic have [partnered](#) with Amazon Web Services (AWS) to deliver the Claude AI models to U.S. intelligence and defense agencies, marking a major step in the integration of advanced AI capabilities across government functions. This new government contract was announced directly after the election and is a product of the Biden administration yet is likely to continue into the Trump administration. Of note, Palantir CEO Peter Thiel has been a prominent Trump supporter since 2016, where he was a part of Trump's transition team. He is also behind JD Vance's [rapid political rise](#) to power, as Vance was a former employee of Thiel at his tech fund Mithril Capital and was supported by Thiel during his Ohio Senatorial run in 2022 with \$15 million in campaign donations.

Amazon CEO Jeff Bezos has also hedged his bets with President-elect Trump recently, blocking the Washington Post (which he owns) from [endorsing a candidate](#) in the 2024 Presidential election, something the Washington Post has

done for the last 36 years. Many speculated that this endorsement block was to appeal Bezos and Amazon to Trump in the event of his victory, as Trump has been known to [target businesses](#) and CEOs who criticize or speak out against him and could jeopardize AWS' AI government contract.

AI Research Funding and Competition with China

One of the key impacts from the scaled-back regulation could be slashed research funding for AI, following by eliminating wasteful government spending and regulations. This initiative directly targets regulatory bodies like the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) to check that tech firms are developing AI safely and ethically.

There are also serious concerns about Trump supporting the [repeal of the CHIPS and Science Act](#), which allocates \$280 billion to funding semiconductor research across the United States and supporting domestic chip manufacturing that has helped the US stay ahead of China in the tech race. The tax incentives and research funding have benefitted companies and workers in liberal and conservative states alike. On the [Joe Rogan Podcast](#), Trump made clear his opposition to the CHIPS Act and said that his policy would be to institute tariffs on imported chips and materials to encourage more domestic manufacturing and research rather than appropriating funds to provide tax incentives to tech and manufacturing firms to continue their operations in the United States. The Biden administration [plans to award](#) as many grants and tax incentives as they can before Trump takes office so that the awards cannot be rescinded.

This tariff policy would likely decrease the innovation and tech boom we have seen in the United States under the CHIPS Act and would also cause tech prices to inflate as companies would pass the tariff prices they pay off to consumers. This would then decrease tech purchasing by consumers, causing tech and manufacturing companies to potentially financially suffer and institute more layoffs, increasing unemployment that has been at its lowest rate in 54 years under Biden. For now, the CHIPS Act is still up in the air, as Speaker Mike Johnson has been back and forth on repealing the legislation, once saying that it should be repealed and later recanting his statement and stating that it should be “streamlined.”

Another focus to be expected from Trump's presidency is the continuation of the national security aspects of AI from Biden's administration, as Trump has long talked about staying ahead of China, meaning he'll look favorably on policies that help American tech firms [maintain supremacy](#) over their Chinese counterparts. Perhaps the CHIPS Act will not be repealed for this reason, but it will again depend on who he listens to regarding tech policy and what changes may be made to the bill.

Appointing Tech Leaders to Advisory Roles

Trump has appointed Elon Musk and Vivek Ramaswamy to lead up a proposed new government department called the Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE). Trump said the commission is designed to cut regulations, reduce government staff and “restructure” federal bodies. It is unclear how such an effort would proceed, as all new departments within the Executive Branch are subject to congressional approval. With the Republican supermajority in Congress, an approval of such a department is not outside the realm of possibility but it still uncertain as Musk and Ramaswamy would not have enforcement powers over proposed budget cuts but would rather provide recommendations based on department research.

However, given both of their history in tech and Musk's numerous remarks about how AI will likely take many jobs, it is highly possible that, should the department be officially created, that the two would seek to try to replace government positions with AI where possible. It is worth noting that Elon Musk has been [generally optimistic](#) about how AI will replace jobs, stating that there is an “80% chance that AI advances will result in such a situation where humans will not need a job and will have all they need.” He also emphasized that he believed there would be a “universal high income” due to the lack of jobs and efficiency of the economy. The reality, though, may be in his more recent statements, in which he has said that this “department” will send “shockwaves through the system” and that Americans should be ready for “hardship” as he plans to cut a third of government spending.

Trump didn't talk a great deal about AI while on the campaign trail, which indicates he may not care much about AI – leaving the door open for policy to be [steered](#) by other key players in his administration, particularly Elon Musk. While offering few specifics about his plans for AI, the president-elect's alliance with Musk and his pledge to repeal President Biden's AI executive order could mean private sector-driven innovation and competition will be prioritized over regulation.

AI & Energy

The rapid growth of AI and its associated technologies has led to a significant increase in energy consumption, particularly in data centers. This surge in power demand has sparked discussions about expanding and modernizing energy infrastructure to meet these growing needs. AI technologies, especially large language models and generative AI systems, require substantial computational power, which translates to high energy consumption. A single query to ChatGPT, for instance, can use up to [10 times](#) more electricity than a typical Google search. As AI applications become more widespread and sophisticated, their energy requirements are expected to grow exponentially.

This rapid increase in energy demand poses significant challenges to existing power grids and energy infrastructure. Major AI developers, including OpenAI, have recognized this issue and begun lobbying for the [expansion of energy infrastructure](#) to keep pace with the electricity needs of AI and data centers, reigniting interest in nuclear energy. Under the previous Trump administration, there was a push to expand nuclear energy options throughout America to meet growing energy demands and modernize the current infrastructure. In August, he [announced](#) that he would “approve new drilling, new pipelines, new refineries, new power plants [and] new reactors” on “day one” of his administration. On the Joe Rogan podcast, however, Trump [said](#) that large-scale nuclear projects “get too big, and too complex and too expensive,” which has provided mixed signals about his second administration's expansion of pro-nuclear policies that the Biden administration has built upon.

The expansion of energy infrastructure to support AI and data center growth has emerged as an area of common ground between Republicans and Democrats. Both parties recognize the need for further innovation and infrastructure development to address the strain on power grids caused by the scaling of AI and data centers, and both parties have supported safe nuclear energy expansion as a solution to the problem.

For more of APCO's analysis of 2024 elections visit apcoworldwide.com/agenda24.